Additional Tips for Giving Effective Feedback

Positive Feedback:

Positive feedback from supervisors and peers is linked to increased employee performance³ and motivation³.

- Positive feedback should be used when the desired outcome is for an employee to maintain or repeat a behavior¹⁸.
 - Effective performance should be recognized and rewarded with positive job changes and rewards for employees (promotions, increased autonomy/responsibilities, etc.)¹⁸.
- Positive feedback should be given informationally, NOT controllingly⁴:
 Informational Feedback Example: "Congratulations, you've completed all of your goals for this month!"
 - Informational feedback can lead to increases in employee intrinsic motivation, which is linked to employee performance⁴.

Controlling Feedback Example: "Excellent, you need to keep up the good work"

- When providing positive feedback, supervisors should avoid using controlling words such as 'should' or 'need'⁴.
- Controlling feedback can decrease both intrinsic motivation and employee trust in supervisors⁴.

Negative Feedback:

Many supervisors are hesitant to give negative feedback to employees, however; this is problematic because it conveys the message that mediocrity is acceptable, which damages the morale of top performers¹⁴.

- To give effective negative feedback, avoid passing judgement, feedback should be focused on an employee's task behaviors rather than the employee themselves¹⁸.
 - Additionally, negative feedback should focus on employees' development and goal improvement and should include no threats or judgements¹⁸.
 - Negative feedback should be clear, task related, and should provide guidance on how to increase performance¹⁵.
- Many employees can be sensitive to negative feedback¹⁴, so give this feedback with
 care and include error management expressions¹⁴ such as: "Errors are a natural part
 of the learning process." or "Making errors is normal, you need to make errors to
 learn."
 - Error management expressions increase employee motivation and minimize the harmful emotional effects of negative feedback¹⁴.

Sources of feedback:

Supervisors:

- When providing feedback, supervisors and other leader figures should clarify expectations and goals and encourage employees to ask questions¹⁴.
- Feedback should include high-quality exchanges between supervisors and employees¹⁴.
 - These positive exchanges lead to increases in trust, role clarity, and performance¹⁴.
 - It is important to consider that the quality of the relationship between employees and supervisors can lead to bias for this form of feedback¹⁴.

Self:

- Self appraisal/feedback increases employee perceptions of fairness and shows whether discrepancies exist between employee perceptions of performance and supervisor perceptions of performance¹⁴.
- Self-ratings tend to be inflated, so self-feedback is most effective when used together
 with supervisor and multi-source feedback¹⁴.

Multi-source feedback (MSF aka 360°):

Multi-source feedback involves employees receiving feedback from multiple sources either internal or external to the organization¹⁴.

- MSF provides a more comprehensive and well-rounded view of an employees' strengths and areas of improvement¹⁴.
 - MSF encourages knowledge sharing, open communication, and positive interactions between supervisors and employees¹⁴.
 - MSF can be used for developmental and/or administrative purposes and can be useful when making decisions about compensation/promotion¹⁴.
- When utilizing multi-source feedback, it is important to consider the biases of each source and to weigh the pros and cons of utilizing each
 - Supervisor Bias comes from relationship between supervisors and employee(s)¹⁴.
 - Self Tendency for inflated appraisal of self¹⁴.
 - Customers Bias can come from individual customer experience, can be influenced by external factors¹⁴.
 - Peers Bias comes from the relationship between peers and employee(s)¹⁴.

Frequency of feedback:

Effective feedback should be an ongoing, consistent process; performance feedback in some form should be given daily¹⁴.

 Supervisors should keep track of examples of good and poor employee performance to be shown to employees while providing feedback¹⁴.

Varying feedback depending on the recipient:

Individuals react differently to feedback for a variety of different reasons

Neurodiversity:

- Neurodiverse individuals (those who possess different neurological frameworks than
 the typical population, such as those diagnosed with ASD, ADHD, or dyslexia¹⁶) often
 have difficulty interpreting feedback¹⁷.
- While giving feedback to someone who is neurodiverse:
 - give little room for ambiguity or alternative interpretations of your words¹⁷.
 - Communicate that feedback is constructive and that each employee will receive feedback at some point¹⁷.
 - Be empathetic to employees that may be distressed by feedback¹⁷.

Gender:

- Supervisors should be mindful of possible gender differences in how they give feedback¹⁰.
- Research has demonstrated that supervisors may consciously or unconsciously shield female workers from challenging situations and negative feedback¹⁰.
 - This can deny female workers the opportunities to further grow as employees¹⁰.
- When giving feedback and volunteering employees for challenging experiences, be mindful of how you act toward those of either gender¹⁰.
- Be mindful of any biases you may hold when giving feedback to employees¹⁰.

Sources

- 1. BECKER, T. H. O. M. A. S. E., & D. J. (1989). A field study of the relationship between the organizational feedback environment and performance. Personnel Psychology, 42(2), 343–358. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.1989.tb00662.x
- 2. Dawson, G., Osterling, J., Rinaldi, J., Carver, L.J., & McPartland, J.C. (2001). Brief Report: Recognition Memory and Stimulus-Reward Associations: Indirect Support for the Role of Ventromedial Prefrontal Dysfunction in Autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 31, 337-341.
- 3. Deci, E. L. (1971). Effects of externally mediated rewards on intrinsic motivation. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 18(1), 105–115. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0030644
- 4. Deci, E. L., Koestner, R., & Deci, E. L., Koestner, R., & R. M. (1999). A meta-analytic review of experiments examining the effects of extrinsic rewards on intrinsic motivation. Psychological Bulletin, 125(6), 627–668. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.125.6.627
- 5. Deci, E. L., & Deci, E. L., & Springer Science + Business Media.
- 6. Dempsey, J.V. and G.C. Sales (Eds.). (1993) Interactive Instruction and Feedback. Educational Technology Publication. NJ: Englewood Cliffs
- 7. DeNisi, A. S., & Kluger, A. N. (2000). Feedback effectiveness: Can 360-degree appraisals be improved?

 Academy of Management Perspectives, 14(1), 129–139. https://doi.org/10.5465/ame.2000.2909845
- 8. Flanagan, S. (2017). *Performance management: Giving and receiving feedback*. https://hr.psu.edu/sites/hr/files/GivingAndReceivingFeedbackSupervisors.pdf. Retrieved November 29, 2021, from https://hr.psu.edu/sites/hr/files/GivingAndReceivingFeedbackSupervisors.pdf.
- 9. Grossman, J. H., & Drossman, J. R. (2002). Becoming a successful manager how to make a smooth transition from managing yourself to managing others. Contemporary Books.
- 10. King, E. B., Botsford, W., Hebl, M. R., Kazama, S., Dawson, J. F., & Perkins, A. (2010). Benevolent sexism at work. *Journal of Management*, *38*(6), 1835–1866. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206310365902
- 11. Krenn, B., Würth, S., & Hergovich, A. (2013). The impact of feedback on goal setting and task performance. Swiss Journal of Psychology, 72(2), 79–89. https://doi.org/10.1024/1421-0185/a000101
- 12. Larson, M. J., South, M., Krauskopf, E., Clawson, A., & Crowley, M. J. (2011). Feedback and reward processing in high-functioning autism. *Psychiatry Research*, *187*(1-2), 198–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2010.11.006
- 13. London, M. (1997) Job Feedback: Giving, Seeking, and Using Feedback for Performance Improvement. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates

Sources Cont.

- 14. Smither, J. W., & London, M. (2009). Performance management: putting research into action. Jossey-Bass.
- 15. Stajkovic, A. D., & Luthans, F. (1997). A meta-analysis of the effects of organizational behavior modification on task performance, 1975–95. *Academy of Management Journal*, *40*(5), 1122–1149. https://doi.org/10.5465/256929
- 16. What is neurodiversity? National Symposium on Neurodiversity at Syracuse University. (2012, March 23).

 Retrieved November 29, 2021, from

 https://neurodiversitysymposium.wordpress.com/what-is-neurodiversity/.
- 17. William Dodson, M. D. (2021, August 24). *New insights into rejection sensitive dysphoria*. ADDitude. Retrieved November 29, 2021, from https://www.additudemag.com/rejection-sensitive-dysphoria-adhd-emotional-dysregulation/.
- 18. Zacher, H., & Frese, M. (2018). Action regulation theory: Foundations, current knowledge and future directions. In D. S. Ones, N. Anderson, C. Viswesvaran, & H. K. Sinangil (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of industrial, work & organizational psychology: Organizational psychology* (pp. 122–144). Sage Reference.



Created by <u>Matthew Giuliano</u>, <u>Ryan Lentz</u>, <u>Isabella Arroyo</u>, and <u>Nikita Williams</u>, with help from <u>Paulina Wiedmann</u>